



Review of Clinical Spectrum of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease in a General Population; A Study from South-East Iran

Sodaif Darvishmoghdam¹, Mohammad Javad Zahedi¹, Mohammad Mehdi Hayatbakhsh Abbasi²,
Ali Akbar Haghdoost³, Mahdieh Khalilyzade^{4*}, Elham Karimi Goughari⁴

1. Gastroenterology and Hepatology Research Center, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran
2. Physiology Research Center, Institute of Neuropharmacology, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran
3. Research Center for Modeling in Health, Institute for Futures Studies in Health, Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Health School, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran
4. Clinical Research Unit, Afzalipour Academic Center, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is one of the most common gastrointestinal problems worldwide. The aim of this study was to evaluate the clinical spectrum, prevalence, and some of the variables that are supposed to be the risk factors of this chronic disorder.

METHODS

This population-based cross-sectional study was conducted in a one-stage randomized clustered sample of adult inhabitants in Kerman city in 2011-2012. A total of 2265 subjects with age range of 15-85 years were enrolled. Face to face interview was performed for all the subjects. GERD was defined as at least weekly heart burn and/or acid regurgitation during the past year. Association of GERD with factors like demographic variables, medical condition, diet and life habits were analyzed.

RESULTS

A total of 2265 subjects including 988 (43.8%) male and 1275 (56.3%) female patients were evaluated. The prevalence of GERD was 28%. The prevalence was higher in female patients and with aging. There was also a significant association between GERD and the following risk factors: lower educational level ($p < 0.0001$), higher body mass index ($p = 0.003$), presence of depression ($p < 0.0001$), and anxiety ($p < 0.0001$).

CONCLUSION

GERD as a common disorder in our region was seen more in elderly and female patients and was associated with some anthropometric, metabolic, medical conditions, and behavioral habits.

KEYWORDS

Gastroesophageal reflux disease, Risk factors, Prevalence, General population

Please cite this paper as:

Darvishmoghdam S, Zahedi MJ, Hayatbakhsh Abbasi MM, Haghdoost AA, Khalilyzade M, Karimi Goughari E. Review of Clinical Spectrum of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease in a General Population; A Study from South-East Iran. *Middle East J Dig Dis* 2016;8:310-317. DOI: 10.15171/mejdd.2016.41

INTRODUCTION

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is one of the most common gastrointestinal (GI) disorders with a chronic or recurrent nature.¹ The patients usually suffer from troublesome symptoms, namely heart burn, regurgitation, and many extra-esophageal complaints. The prevalence of GERD varies in different areas of the world and even in different parts inside a country.¹

Castell in 1985 described GERD and pressed it as an “iceberg”,² thereafter

* Corresponding Author:

Mahdieh Khalilyzade, MD
Clinical Infectious diseases, Afzalipour
Academic center, Imam Highway,
Kerman, Iran
Telefax: + 98 3433257470
Email: mahkhalilyzade@gmail.com

Received: 28 Apr. 2016

Accepted: 01 Sep. 2016

the apparent part of the “iceberg” has been growing continually. Until now it is considered that about half of the people living in developed countries are suffering from GERD.¹

GERD is a common gastrointestinal disorder in the Western countries (10-20%) and Asia (2-20%).³ According to a previous study, the most common cause of physician visits in GI clinics of Iran has been GERD.⁴

Dietary factors,⁵ obesity,⁵ smoking,⁵⁻⁷ stress,⁶ major life events,⁵ and alcoholism⁶ have been implicated in increasing the risk of GERD during past decades. Furthermore, people in suburban areas and those with a positive family history are at a higher risk of GERD. Socioeconomic status and westernized diet,⁵ have been postulated as risk factors, even though their roles have not been confirmed yet. Underlying diseases such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, cardiovascular diseases, hyperlipidemia, metabolic syndrome, and drug consumption have been proven as risk factors in some studies.^{5,7-9}

The evaluation of GERD prevalence and its risk factors is important because of rapidly changing life style in developing countries. Changes in dietary pattern, increasing social life stresses, and presence of diverse medical conditions and habits might affect the prevalence of this chronic disorder. The aim of this study was to explore the effect of these variables on GERD in a general population in south-east Iran.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This community-based, cross-sectional study was conducted on adult subjects in Kerman city, the center of the largest province in south-east Iran from 2011 to 2012. The study was nested in a comprehensive study for 15 to 85-year-old population. Family cluster sampling was performed randomly by postal code selection. Those subjects who refused to participate and the cases with major psychiatric problems, or severe illnesses were excluded. A total of 2265 subjects were enrolled in the study.

We used Mayo-GERQ for determining the GERD prevalence. It had been translated and modified for Iranian population and its validity, reliability, and feasibility were established.¹⁰ Weekly presence of one or both essential symptoms of GERD (heart burn and/or regurgita-

tion) in the past year was considered as GERD.¹¹

The study protocol was approved by the local Ethics Committee of Kerman University of Medical Sciences. Written informed consent was obtained from the participants. General physical examination and data collection during a face-to-face interview were performed by a general practitioner. Hypertension was defined as known or new cases of high blood pressure according to the seventh report of the joint national committee (JNC-7).¹² General data including demographic features, educational level, occupational condition, rate of daily activity, medical history, and history of cigarette smoking and opium addiction were recorded. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as body weight divided by squared height (kg/m^2). Waist and hip circumferences were measured by non-elastic tape measure and waist to hip ratio was calculated. Metabolic syndrome was defined according to National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel –III (NCEP ATP 3).¹³ Nutritional habits were recorded according to the dietary recall form during the past month in a food frequency questionnaire (FFQ). The consumption of various foods was according to the position of everyone in the food pyramid. In this manner consumption of more than once monthly of fast foods and soda was considered as an unhealthy habit. It was compared with those with less frequent fast food eating. Consumption of vegetables, cereals, liquid oil, tea, nuts, group of sweet, sugar, and jam were compared in daily consumption with less than daily consumption. To achieve more concise comparison of some of these variables like fruits, beans, meats, tea, and the group of sweet, sugar, and jam, the presence of more than two times a day and/or less than three times a week were compared too. For cigarette and alcohol, consumption was regarded versus no consumption. Psychiatric status was evaluated by using Beck questionnaire for depression and anxiety.^{14,15}

Blood samples of all participants were collected after 10 hours fasting. The samples were analyzed for fasting blood sugar (FBS), triglyceride (TG), total cholesterol and high density lipoprotein (HDL) (Kimia Kit, Iran). In the case of FBS more than 126 mg/dl, a second blood sample was obtained in fasting condition by another recall or by sending a trained nurse to the subject's home. The individual was considered as having diabetes if the

second FBS was also more than 126 mg/dl.

We obtained the prevalence of main variables with 95% confidence interval. Statistical analysis was performed by SPSS software version 16. Using Poisson regression modeling, the association between frequency and dependent variables was assessed. Chi-square test was used to determine the significance of association between qualitative variables. P values less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

A total of 2265 adults (15-85 years old), including 988 (43.7%) men, living in Kerman city were included.

The subjects who were considered to have GERD were 635/2265 (28%). Distribution of GERD between women and men were 392 (61.7%) and 243 (38.3) respectively ($P=0.001$, table 1).

The mean age (\pm SE) of the studied subjects was 48.22 (\pm 0.34) years. The mean age (\pm SE) of GERD cases was 50.76 (\pm 0.63) while it was 47.23 (\pm 0.4) years for subjects without GERD ($P<0.0001$). The associated symptoms in subjects with GERD in order of frequency were: dysphagia in 137 (21.6%), retrosternal pain in 118 (18.6%), wheezing in 53 (8.3%), cough in 38 (5.98%), nausea in 34 (5.4%), and vomiting in 22 (3.5%). The association of other risk factors with GERD was found as below: Family history was present in 278/635(43.8%) of GERD cases. Waist to hip circumference was 0.844 ± 0.0038 in patients with GERD and 0.837 ± 0.002 in individuals without GERD, with no statistical significance ($p=0.14$).

Two social behaviors of current cigarette smoking and opium addiction were seen in 50 (7.9%) and 125 (19.7%) patients with GERD, respectively. Underlying diseases including diabetes mellitus, hypertension, cardiovascular diseases, hyperlipidemia, and metabolic syndrome were seen in 112 (17.6%), 179 (28.2%), 89 (14%), 209 (32.9%) and 264 (41.6%), in patients with GERD, respectively.

The association between some demographic features and underlying disorders including education, job, BMI, pattern of diet, level of physical activity, anxiety, depression, and GERD are shown in detail in table 1.

DISCUSSION

The present study was a population –based study for

determination of GERD prevalence in an urban area. In our study, 635 subjects (28%) suffered from GERD, which was more prevalent in women. Our finding was similar to some studies reported around the country(16); Tehran (21.2% and 39.7%),^{17,18} Mashhad,¹⁹ Firoozkooch and Damavand (29.2%),²⁰ and Tabriz (26.8%).²¹ It was higher compared with some other studies, ie. Rasht (9.1%),²² Gonabad (12.3%),⁹ and another study in Tehran (6.8%).⁴ In other countries, and for those studies with similar definitions of GERD, the prevalence were: 21.7% in Norway,²³ 20% in Turkey,²⁴ 14-20.0% in the USA,^{24,25} 7.6-18.7% in India,²⁶ 6.5-9.5% in Japan,²⁷ and 2.5-6.5% in Far East countries.²⁸

Iran is a developing country, rapidly evolving into western type life style. Our findings regarding the prevalence of GERD are similar to western countries, while it was significantly higher than Far East Asian countries. These variations might be due to different ethnic and environmental factors. However, nature or nurture, which one is more important in the prevalence of GERD, is not clear.

Increase in the prevalence of GERD in some ethnic groups or races can suggest cultural changes such as westernization, smoking, longer life expectancy, or helicobacter pylori eradication as responsible factors.²⁹

Regarding the sex distribution, other studies from other societies also indicated a higher prevalence of GERD in women.^{20,30,31} In a Norwegian²³ study, the prevalence was higher in men while there was no association between sex and GERD in some other Iranian studies.^{4,21}

In our study the prevalence of GERD increased with aging. Regarding the age, our results were similar to another Iranian⁹ and several European and Asian studies,^{23,32} but it was different from some other Iranian surveys.^{4,20,21}

GERD symptoms were more frequent in family members in our study. Similar result for GERD was found in some other studies,^{23,32,33} but it was not concordant with one study in China.⁸ This finding might be due to common genetic and environmental factors.

In the present study, there was an association between lower educational level and the prevalence of GERD. Comparable findings have been shown in two large Iranian,^{6,31} and a Chinese surveys.³³ It can be explained by the better adherence of people with higher educational

Table 1: Prevalence of associated factors in individuals with and without GERD

Variables	Without GERD N (%)	GERD N (%)	Total N (%)	p value
Sex				
Male	745 (45.8)	243 (38.3)	988 (43.7)	0.001
Female	883 (54.2)	392 (61.7)	1275 (56.3)	
Age(mean± SE years)	47.23± 0.4	50.76± 0.63	48.22± 0.34	< 0.0001
Education				
Uneducated	192 (60.8)	124 (39.2)	316 (14)	<0.0001
Less than diploma and diploma	1104 (71.9)	432 (28.1)	1536 (68)	
Associate degree and upper	330 (80.9)	78 (19.1)	408 (18.1)	
Job				
Employed	1293 (72.6)	487 (27.4)	1780 (78.7)	0.15
Unemployed	334 (69.3)	148 (30.7)	482 (21.3)	
BMI (kg/m²)				
<25	738 (74.8)	248 (25.2)	986 (44.4)	0.003
25-29.9	584 (71.6)	232 (28.4)	816 (36.7)	
> 30	277 (66)	143 (34)	420 (18.9)	
Physical activity				
Mild	644 (68.4)	298 (31.6)	942 (41.6)	0.005
Moderate	758 (74.9)	254 (25.1)	1012 (44.7)	
Severe	228 (73.3)	83 (26.7)	311 (13.7)	
Waist circumference	752 (46.4)	304 (48.5)	1056 (47)	0.37
HDL	159 (10.4)	67 (11.3)	226 (10.6)	0.56
Diastolic blood pressure	456 (28)	223 (35.1)	679 (30)	0.001
Systolic blood pressure	520 (31.9)	241 (38)	761 (33.6)	0.006
Diabetes mellitus	339 (22.8)	209 (36.9)	548 (26.7)	<0.0001
Triglyceride	592 (38.7)	271 (45.5)	863 (40.6)	0.004
Metabolic syndrome	563 (40.7)	264 (50.2)	827 (43.3)	<0.0001
Anxiety				
Normal	401 (85.1)	70 (14.9)	471 (21.2)	<0.0001
Mild	465 (76)	147 (24)	612 (27.5)	
Moderate	398 (73.8)	141 (26.2)	539 (24.2)	
Severe	328 (54.5)	274 (45.5)	602 (27.1)	
Depression				
Normal	1095 (79.2)	287 (20.8)	1382 (65.3)	<0.0001
Mild	87 (54.4)	73 (45.6)	160 (7.6)	
Moderate	361 (63)	212 (37)	573 (27.1)	
Severe	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)	3 (0.1)	

There were missing data for some of the variables.

level to recommended life style. However the results of some other studies did not correlate with this finding.^{4,8}

Association between GERD and job situation was not statistically significant ($p= 0.15$), although unemployed cases were more affected with GERD than others.

There was a significant association between GERD and lower physical activity in our study. It seems that sedentary life style increases the prevalence of GERD; while active life style and moderate physical activity can decrease the prevalence of GERD. There are some stud-

Table 1: Prevalence of dietary factors in individuals with and without GERD

Vegetables				
Low	698 (68)	328 (32)	1026 (45.8)	
Moderate	891 (74.9)	299 (25.1)	1190 (53.1)	<0.0001
High	22 (95.7)	1 (4.3)	23 (1)	
Fruits				
Low	147 (62)	90 (38)	237 (10.6)	
Moderate	1391 (72.8)	519 (27.2)	1910 (85.4)	0.001
High	70 (77.8)	20 (22.2)	90 (4)	
Beans				
Low	135 (59.7)	91 (41.3)	226 (10)	
Moderate	726 (71.6)	288 (28.4)	1014 (45)	<0.0001
High	760 (75)	253 (25)	1013 (45)	
Cereals				
Low	685 (68.2)	320 (31.8)	1005 (49.4)	<0.0001
Moderate and high	774 (75.1)	256 (24.9)	1030 (50.6)	
Sweets, sugar, and jam				
Low	205 (70)	88 (30)	293 (12.9)	
Moderate	100 (69.4)	44 (30.6)	144 (6.4)	0.53
High	1325 (72.5)	503 (27.5)	1828 (80.7)	
Meats (red and white)				
Low	113 (60.4)	74 (39.6)	178 (8.6)	
Moderate	275 (69.2)	127 (30.8)	412 (19)	<0.0001
High	1159 (73.9)	409 (26.1)	1568 (72.4)	
Fast food				
Low	1348 (72.3)	517 (27.7)	1865 (82.7)	0.4
Moderate and high	273 (70.2)	116 (29.8)	389 (17.3)	
Unsaturated oil				
Low	1212 (71.5)	484 (28.5)	1696 (76.4)	
Moderate	379 (73.3)	138 (26.7)	517 (23.3)	0.015
High	4 (66.7)	2 (33.3)	6 (0.3)	
Tea				
Low	108 (70.1)	46 (29.9)	154 (6.8)	
Moderate	450 (76)	142 (24)	592 (26.2)	0.04
High	1067 (70.6)	444 (29.4)	1511 (66.9)	
Carbonated drinks				
	537 (72.7)	202 (27.3)	739 (32.7)	0.61
Nuts				
Low	733 (69.5)	322 (30.5)	1055 (46.9)	
Moderate	265 (72)	103 (28)	368 (16.4)	0.02
High	621 (75.1)	206 (24.9)	827 (36.8)	
Alcoholic beverage				
	11 (100)	0 (0)	11 (0.5)	0.04

There were missing data for some of the variables.

ies that have shown the higher prevalence of GERD in sedentary life style.¹ Comparable with our study, Fujiwara and colleagues indicated more symptoms of GERD with higher level of physical activity.^{28,34}

Higher BMI has been associated with GERD in our study like many other surveys.^{22, 23, 26,32} However some studies did not show such an association.^{25,35-37} Some studies have indicated a higher waist to hip circumfer-

ence as an independent factor for GERD,³⁸ but we could not find any association between GERD and this variable similar to some other studies.^{3,7,13} This finding has been explained with more prevalence of hiatal hernia or high intra-abdominal pressure in obese subjects.

Whilst waist circumference and HDL level did not show any association with GERD ($p=0.37$ and $p=0.56$ respectively), all other components of metabolic syndrome including [TG ($p=0.002$), diabetes mellitus ($p<0.0001$), systolic blood pressure ($p=0.006$), and diastolic blood pressure ($p=0.001$)] and metabolic syndrome ($p<0.0001$) per se, had significant association with GERD similar to what reported in some other studies¹.

Compared with cigarette smoking, opium addiction was more frequent in patients with GERD (7.9% vs. 19.7%). Theoretically cigarette smoking decreases lower esophageal sphincter pressure and salivary secretion of bicarbonate leading to GERD. It has been shown that morphine decreases acid reflux; however other components of opium such as alkaloids, non-alkaloids, aromatic hydrocarbons, and amines may predispose the subjects to GERD.

Emotional disorders; both anxiety and depression, were associated with GERD in our study similar to the findings of some other studies.³ Association of GERD with emotional distress could be explained by brain-gut axis interaction disease behavior and consumption of psychiatric drugs.³

GERD was significantly associated with lower consumption of fruits, fresh vegetables, meats, nuts, cereals, and beans. On the other hand drinking more black tea and unsaturated oil consumption were associated with GERD. The associations between fast foods, consumption of carbonated drinks, cookies, sugar, and jam with GERD were not statistically significant. Few cases in our study used to drink alcohol, so interpretation of its association with GERD could not be done.

There are many discrepancies between the association of GERD and food pattern. For example in an Albanian study significant association was found between GERD and non-Mediterranean diet.³⁹ Some studies have shown the facilitating effect of tea,¹⁹ soft drinks, fat, and fried foods,⁴⁰ and protective effect of fiber (vegetable and fruit) on GERD.^{31,40,41} Whereas there are some reports against the protective effect of fruits and vegetables,³⁷

but ameliorative effect of soft drinks.³⁷ Comparable to our results, some studies did not find any association between GERD and soda,³⁸ or fast food.⁷ It may be explained by previous experience of unpleasant sense and exaggeration of symptoms with consumption of these foods. However, effect of dietary habit on improving or exaggerating GERD symptoms cannot be evaluated in this cross sectional study. Fat may intensify the reflux with decreasing lower esophageal sphincter pressure and delaying gastric emptying but some authors believe that fat is not as important as total caloric content of meal.⁴²

Limitations: Firstly, in spite of a large sample size and precise data gathering, our study was conducted using a subjective manner and not by using an objective method such as endoscopy and/or pH monitoring. Secondly, as this was a population based study, it was not possible to determine the cause and effect relationship in this cross sectional study.

According to this study, the prevalence of GERD is high in our region, which was associated with some demographic variables such as female sex, aging, low educational level, higher BMI, and sedentary life style. In addition, metabolic syndrome and some of its components were seen more frequent in GERD subjects. Diet can influence on the symptoms of GERD; as more consumption of vegetables, fruits, beans, cereals, meats, and nuts was associated with lower prevalence of GERD, whilst more tea consumption was seen in GERD cases.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the Kerman University of Medical Sciences for providing the financial means and laboratory facilities. Special thanks also to Azam Dehghani and Mehdi Afshari for their statistical assistance.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest related to this work.

REFERENCES

1. Ierardi E, Rosania R, Zotti M, Principe S, Laonigro G, Giorgio F, et al. Metabolic syndrome and gastro-esophageal reflux: A link towards a growing interest in developed countries. *World J Gastrointest Pathophysiol*

- 2010;1:91-6. doi: 10.4291/wjgp.v1.i3.91.
2. Castell DO, Wu WC, Ott DJ. Gastro-esophageal reflux disease: Pathogenesis, Diagnosis, Therapy: Futura 1985, 25.
 3. Martin- Merino E, Ruigomez A, Garcia Rodriguez LA, Wallander M-A, Johansson S. Depression and treatment with antidepressants are associated with the development of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2010;31:1132-40. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2010.04280.x.
 4. Nouraei M, Razjouyan H, Assady M, Malekzadeh R, Nasser-Moghaddam S. Epidemiology of gastroesophageal reflux symptoms in Tehran, Iran: a population-based telephone survey. *Arch Iran Med* 2007;10:289-94. doi:07103/AIM.003
 5. Chen M, Xiong L, Chen H, Xu A, He L, Hu P. Prevalence, risk factors and impact of gastroesophageal reflux disease symptoms: a population-based study in South China. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2005;40:759-67. doi : 10.1080/00365520510015610
 6. Islami F, Nasser-Moghaddam S, Pourshams A, Poustchi H, Semnani S, Kamangar F, et al. Determinants of gastroesophageal reflux disease, including hookah smoking and opium use- a cross-sectional analysis of 50,000 individuals. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e89256. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0089256
 7. FriedenberG FK, Rai J, Vanar V, Bongiorno C, Nelson DB, Parepally M, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for gastroesophageal reflux disease in an impoverished minority population. *Obes Res Clin Pract* 2010;4:e261-e9. doi : 10.1016/j.orcp.2010.06.001
 8. El-Serag HB, Richardson P, Pilgrim P, Gilger MA. Determinants of gastroesophageal reflux disease in adults with a history of childhood gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007;5:696-701. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2007.02.033
 9. Aletaha N, pourshams A, Nourai S, Fazeltabar A, Malekzade R. Gastroesophageal reflux disease in Gonbad-Kavoos: prevalence and risk factors. *J Med Council Islamic Republic Iran* 2010;28:176-83.
 10. Nasser-Moghaddam S, Razjouy H, Habibi R, Rafaat-Zand K, Ahrari B, Nouraei M, et al. Reliability, Validity, and Feasibility of the Mayo Gastro-Esophageal Reflux Questionnaire (GERQ) in a Persian-Speaking Population. *Iran J Public Health* 2008;37:4-74.
 11. Vakil N, van Zanten SV, Kahrilas P, Dent J, Jones R. The Montreal definition and classification of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a global evidence-based consensus. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006;101:1900-20; quiz 1943. doi:10.1111/j.1572-0241.2006.00630.x
 12. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL Jr, et al. Seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. *Hypertension* 2003;42:1206-52. doi: 10.1161/01.HYP.0000107251.49515.c2
 13. Grundy SM, Brewer HB, Cleeman JI, Smith SC, Lenfant C. Definition of metabolic syndrome report of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute/American Heart Association Conference on scientific issues related to definition. *Circulation* 2004;109:433-8. doi:10.1161/01.cir.0000111245.75752.c6
 14. Bellis C. The Beck Depression Inventory for Primary Care accurately screened for major depressive disorders. *Evidence Based Nursing*. 1999;2:126, doi:10.1136/ebn.2.4.126
 15. Steer, Robert A.; Beck, Aaron T. Zalaquett, Carlos P. (Ed); Wood, Richard John (Ed). Beck Anxiety Inventory. (1997). Evaluating stress: A book of resources, (pp. 23-40). Lanham, MD, US: Scarecrow Education, xvii, 474 pp.
 16. Delavari A, Moradi G, Birjandi F, Elahi E, Saberifrooz M. The Prevalence of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD) in the Islamic Republic of Iran: A Systematic Review. *Middle East J Dig Dis* 2012;4:5-15.
 17. Nouraei M, Radmard AR, Zaer-Rezaei H, Razjouyan H, Nasser-Moghaddam S, Malekzadeh R. Hygiene could affect GERD prevalence independently: a population-based study in Tehran. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2007;102:1353-60. doi: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2007.01208.x.
 18. Ehsani MJ, Maleki I, Mohammadzadeh F, Mashayekh A. Epidemiology of gastroesophageal reflux disease in Tehran, Iran. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007;22:1419-22. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1746.2006.04616.x.
 19. Vossoughinia H, Salari M, Mokhtari Amirmajidi E, Saadatnia H, Abedini S, Shariati A, et al. An epidemiological study of gastroesophageal reflux disease and related risk factors in urban population of mashhad, iran. *Iran Red Crescent Med J* 2014;16:e15832. doi: 10.5812/ircmj.15832.
 20. Moghimi Dehkordi B, Safaei Azadeh PG, Habibi Manizheh GNF, Zali MR. Study of non-specific symptoms of gastro esophageal reflux disease: A population-based study. *KNOWLEDGE AND HEALTH*. 2009;2, 10-13. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1234/jkh.v4i2
 21. Somi M, Farhang S, Nasser-Moghaddam S, Jazayeri E, Mirinezhad S, Godrati S, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of gastroesophageal reflux disease in Tabriz, Iran. *Iran J Public Health* 2008;37:85-90.
 22. Mansour-Ghanaei F, Joukar F, Atshani SM, Chagharvand S, Souti F. The epidemiology of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a survey on the prevalence and the associated factors in a random sample of the general population in the Northern part of Iran. *Int J Mol Epidemiol Genet* 2013;4:175-82.
 23. Breckan RK, Paulssen EJ, Asfeldt AM, Mortensen L, Straume Br, Florholmen J. The impact of body mass index and Helicobacter pylori infection on gastro-oesophageal reflux symptoms: a population-based study in Northern Norway. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2009;44:1060-6. doi: 10.1080/00365520903093728.
 24. Brzana RJ, Koch KL. Gastroesophageal reflux dis-

- ease presenting with intractable nausea. *Ann Intern Med* 1997;**126**:704-7. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-126-9-199705010-00005
25. Patrick L. Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD): a review of conventional and alternative treatments. *Altern Med Rev* 2011;**16**:116-33. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-126-9-199705010-00005
 26. Sharma PK, Ahuja V, Madan K, Gupta S, Raizada A, Sharma MP. Prevalence, severity, and risk factors of symptomatic gastroesophageal reflux disease among employees of a large hospital in Northern India. *Indian J Gastroenterol* 2011;**30**:128-34. doi: 10.1007/s12664-010-0065-5.
 27. Kinoshita Y, Adachi K, Hongo M, Haruma K. Systematic review of the epidemiology of gastroesophageal reflux disease in Japan. *J Gastroenterol* 2011;**46**:1092-103. doi: 10.1007/s00535-011-0429-3
 28. Fujiwara Y, Takahashi SI, Arakawa T, Sollano JD, Zhu Q, Kachintorn U, et al. A 2008 questionnaire-based survey of gastroesophageal reflux disease and related diseases by physicians in East Asian countries. *Digestion* 2009;**80**:119-28. doi:10.1159/000226088
 29. Iijima K, Koike T, Shimosegawa T. [Chronological change in gastric acid secretion in Japanese]. *Nihon Rinsho*. 2015;**73**:1093-7.
 30. Rogha M, Mohabatian B, Daemi P, Bashardoost N, Pourshams A. Gastroesophageal reflux disease in Esfahan. *Govaresh* 2006;**11**:145-9.
 31. Saberi-Firooz M, Khademolhosseini F, Yousefi M, Mehrabani D, Zare N, Heydari ST. Risk factors of gastroesophageal reflux disease in Shiraz, southern Iran. *World J Gastroenterol* 2007;**13**:5486-91.
 32. Emerenziani S, Zhang X, Blondeau K, Silny J, Tack J, Janssens J, et al. Gastric fullness, physical activity, and proximal extent of gastroesophageal reflux. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2005;**100**:1251-6. doi: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2005.41695.x
 33. He J, Ma X, Zhao Y, Wang R, Yan X, Yan H, et al. A population-based survey of the epidemiology of symptom-defined gastroesophageal reflux disease: the Systematic Investigation of Gastrointestinal Diseases in China. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2010;**10**:94. doi: 10.1186/1471-230X-10-94
 34. Fujiwara Y, Machida A, Watanabe Y, Shiba M, Tominaga K, Watanabe T, et al. Association between dinner-to-bed time and gastro-esophageal reflux disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2005;**100**:2633-6. doi: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2005.00354.x
 35. Pourhoseingholi MA, Pourhoseingholi A, Moghimi-Dehkordi B, Safaee A, Solhpour A. Assessment the relationship between reflux and body mass index with comparing different regression models. *Gastroenterol Hepatol Bed Bench* 2011;**4**:23.
 36. Solhpour A, Pourhoseingholi MA, Soltani F, Zarghi A, Habibi M, Ghafarnejad F, et al. Gastro-esophageal reflux symptoms and body mass index: no relation among the Iranian population. *Indian J Gastroenterol* 2008;**27**:153-5.
 37. Mostaghni A, Mehrabani D, Khademolhosseini F, Masoumi SJ, Moradi F, Zare N, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of gastroesophageal reflux disease in Qashqai migrating nomads, southern Iran. *World J Gastroenterol* 2009;**15**:961-5.
 38. Dore MP, Maragkoudakis E, Fraley K, Pedroni A, Tadeu V, Realdi G, et al. Diet, lifestyle and gender in gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Dig Dis Sci* 2008;**53**:2027-32. doi: 10.1007/s10620-007-0108-7
 39. Kessing BF, Bredenoord AJ, Weijnen PW, Hemmink GJ, Loots CM, Smout A. Esophageal acid exposure decreases intraluminal baseline impedance levels. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2011;**106**:2093-7. doi: 10.1038/ajg.2011.276.
 40. Menon S, Prew S, Parkes G, Evans S, Smith L, Nightingale P, et al. Do differences in female sex hormone levels contribute to gastro-oesophageal reflux disease? *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2013;**25**:772-7. doi: 10.1097/MEG.0b013e32835fbaab
 41. Nocon M, Labenz J, Willich S. Lifestyle factors and symptoms of gastro-oesophageal reflux—a population-based study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2006;**23**:169-74. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2006.02727.x
 42. Kaltenbach T, Crockett S, Gerson LB. Are lifestyle measures effective in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease? An evidence-based approach. *Arch Intern Med* 2006;**166**:965-71. doi: 10.1001/archinte.166.9.965